

MEMORANDUM

To: Interstate Compact Management Committee

From: Product Standards Committee

Date: March 29, 2022

Subject: Recommendation Pursuant to Section 119 of the Rulemaking Rule for Changes

and Clarifications to certain Uniform Standards Effective Between January 1,

2013, and June 30, 2014 (Phase 9) Subject to the Five-Year Review

The Product Standards Committee ("PSC") of the Interstate Insurance Product Regulation Commission ("Compact") is charged with reviewing, drafting, and recommending proposed drafts of Uniform Standards for consideration and adoption by the Management Committee. In carrying out its charge, the PSC has conducted a review of the Uniform Standards effective between January 1, 2013, and June 30, 2014, and is recommending amendments to certain provisions within these Uniform Standards.

The PSC presents this recommendation pursuant to §119 of its Rule for the Adoption, Amendment and Repeal of Rules for the Interstate Insurance Product Regulation Commission ("Rulemaking Rule") which requires the Compact to substantially review its rules, including Uniform Standards, every five years. The PSC performed the review of these Uniform Standards in accordance with the Procedures for Implementing §119 of the Rulemaking Rule as adopted by the Management Committee on March 2, 2012 ("Procedures").

The Notice of Five-Year Review for Uniform Standards Effective between January 1, 2013, and June 30, 2014, was issued on February 3, 2020. Comments were submitted by the ACLI and two states.

Pursuant to the Procedures, the Compact Office presented a report and recommendation to the Product Standards Committee on June 1, 2021. The Compact Office Report and Recommendation provided a detailed description of the submitted comments and suggested changes as well as changes or amendments proposed by the Compact Office based on these comments and internal challenges faced in applying or implementing the Uniform Standards. The PSC requested public written comments on the Compact Office Report and Recommendation and during its consideration process held two public conference calls on August 10, 2021, and March 1, 2022, to receive comments on the report and the PSC recommendations. There were no written or oral comments.



The final Product Standards Committee Report and Recommendation is divided into four parts: 1) Substantive Changes (proposed amendments that would change or alter the meaning, application or interpretation of the provision); 2) Clarifications Changes (amendments to clarify the original or existing meaning, application, and/or intent of a provision); 3) Conforming Amendments (amendments to existing Uniform Standards where the substantive provisions of the amendments are included in other adopted Uniform Standards, and the amendments will have the same substantive effect on the application of the existing Uniform Standards as it does in the other adopted Uniform Standard) and; 4) Technical Items (formatting, typographical, and/or drafting corrections). As part of the Five-Year Review process, the applicable changes adopted by the Compact in prior phases of the Five-Year Review process will be presented as conforming amendments to Uniform Standards subject to Phase 9.

As required by the Procedures, the PSC's recommendation to the Management Committeeincludes a summary of recommended changes and an explanation of the change in circumstances or underlying assumptions since the rule was last adopted, amended, or reviewed, as well as comments raised but not recommended by the Committee with the reasons for not recommending these items. Since the conforming amendments were already summarized in prior phases of the Five-Year Review and since the technical changes are format and typographical corrections, these items are not detailed on the chart. The Summary of Five-Year Review Comments and PSC Recommendations for Uniform Standards in Phase 9 accompany this Transmittal Memo.

As was previously recommended in prior Five-Year Reviews, the PSC recommends that the proposed amendments apply only to new filings received after the effective date of the amendments. It is not necessary to resubmit previously approved forms to comply with these amendments, or to suspend use of previously approved forms that do not comply with these amendments.

This Summary will be posted to the Rulemaking Docket of the IIPRC Website (www.insurancecompact.org) and will have links to the applicable Uniform Standards showing the proposed Five-Year Review changes in redlined format. The PSC is available to respond to any questions to assist the Management Committee during its rulemaking process.

Product Standards Committee Response to Public Comments During Comment Period Summary of Five-Year Review Comments and PSC Recommendations For Uniform Standards in Phase 9 of the Five-Year Review

February 9, 2022

| | Uniform Standards Provision | 5-Year Review Comment | PSC Recommendation | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| REC | RECOMMENDED CLARIFICATION ITEMS | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Additional Standards for Incidental Guaranteed Minimum Death Benefits for Individual Deferred Non-Variable Annuities Scope Section (Cross-Reference to IIPRC Office Report – Clarification Item #1) | IIPRC Office Comments/Observations: The Insurance Compact Office notes that the use of the phrase "adjusted for withdrawals" has caused questions and confusion with filers and suggests substituting "premiums minus withdrawals" for clarity. The Insurance Compact Office suggests that the PSC consider the language offered to add clarity to this provision. | The PSC recommends revising the definition of incidental GMDB: 1. A death benefit less than or equal to the greater of: (a) 125% of the cash value, or (b) (i) The accumulation of premiums less (adjusted for withdrawals), at a specified annualized interest rate of 10%. (ii) The death benefit provided by 1(b)(i) shall not exceed 250% of premiums less (adjusted for withdrawals). | | | | | | |
| 2. | Group Term Life Insurance Uniform Standards for Accidental Death and Dismemberment | Insurance Compact Office Comments/Observations: The Insurance Compact Office has requested catchall provisions in Uniform Standards be removed as | The PSC recommends this provision be deleted | | | | | | |

Product Standards Committee Response to Public Comments During Comment Period Summary of Five-Year Review Comments and PSC Recommendations For Uniform Standards in Phase 9 the Five-Year Review

February 9, 2022

| | Uniform Standards Provision | 5-Year Review Comment | PSC Recommendation | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Scope section and Benefits section (Cross-Reference to IIPRC Office Report – Clarification Item #2) | they do not provide sufficient standards to make a determination whether benefits not otherwise specifically listed are within scope. | "Dismemberment" includes any loss described in Items 3, and 4 and 7 of Section 2.A of these standards. §2A (7) The provisions may include benefits for other losses that are approved by the Interstate Insurance Product Regulation Commission. | | | |
| ITEMS RAISED BUT NOT RECOMMENDED | | | | | | |
| 1. | Additional Standards for Incidental Guaranteed Minimum Death Benefits for Individual Deferred Non-Variable Annuities SCOPE section (Cross-Reference to IIPRC Office Report – Substantive Item #1) | ACLI Comment: The ACLI stated that they believe the current definition unnecessarily reduces policyholder value and prevents companies from filing through the Compact for products with an enhanced death benefit. As a result, they propose increasing the percentage of the cash value from 125% to 150% to allow more value to be passed on to the policyholder; adding "150% of the account value" since death benefits are generally based on account value and increasing the percentage in 1. (b)(ii) from 250% to 400%. They note that the current limit becomes applicable in approximately the 15th contract year; however, annuities are generally held much longer and thus the proposed limit would allow growth over a longer period of time, enhancing the value to the policyholder. | The PSC recommends no change to the current definition. The PSC referred the request to the Actuarial Working Group(AWG) for review. The AWG asked the ACLI to respond to questions to help the AWG measure the impact of what it means for the death benefit to be incidental. ACLI declined to provide a response to the request. The AWG advised the PSC that it did not have sufficient information to recommend a change to the current definition. | | | |
| 2. | Group Term Life Insurance Policy and Certificate Standards for Employer | Texas Comment: Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) submitted a comment that the Texas Insurance Code §1131.802 has a higher age limit regarding coverage of children in group policies to age 25. | The PSC recommends no change to the existing provision. The Product Standards Committee asked members to review their state statutes regarding the definition of child for group term life insurance | | | |

Product Standards Committee Response to Public Comments During Comment Period Summary of Five-Year Review Comments and PSC Recommendations For Uniform Standards in Phase 9 the Five-Year Review

February 9, 2022

| Uniform Standards Provision | 5-Year Review Comment | PSC Recommendation |
|---|--|--|
| § 3. TERMS AND CONCEPTS (4) (Cross-Reference to IIPRC Office Report –Substantive Item #2) | Under the Compact, if the group policy covers children, it requires coverage through age 19 and permits coverage beyond age 19 and allows restrictions on the circumstances of the child that are not in the Texas statute. Under Texas law, a group policy may cover children through age 26 with few | policies. There was consensus that child coverage was voluntary at the discretion of the employer. Moststate statutes did not have any age requirements or other limitations for employer group term policies. The PSC reviewed several policies filed with the Compact. |
| | exceptions. | could be added at the discretion of theemployer. |